

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

- 6th Dist. Richard Carrasco, Petitioner
H024040 v.
S104318 Santa Clara County Superior Court, Respondent
People, Real Party in Interest
Application for stay and petition for review DENIED.
- S029550 People, Respondent
v.
Duane Holloway, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's reply brief is extended to and including April 23, 2002.
- S087243 People, Respondent
v.
John George Brown, Appellant
On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including March 25, 2002.
- S102296 In re Dannie Ray Hillhouse
on
Habeas Corpus
Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Paul E. O'Connor's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response by May 3, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to March 27, 2002. After that date, only one further extensions totaling 37 additional days are contemplated.
- S102162 People, Respondent
v.
Raul Gomez Gutierrez, Appellant
Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Tracy Dressner is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal

now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

S104430

Ray Allen Kemp, Petitioner

v.

Los Angeles County Superior Court, Respondent
People, Real Party in Interest

The above entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

Bar
Misc.
4186

In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

S068106

In re **John Ingraham Meeker** on Discipline

It is ordered that the probation previously ordered in SO68106 (96-O-04110) be extended for a period of three years. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 29, 2001, as modified by its order filed November 30, 2001. Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

S102461

In re **Emir Phillips** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **Emir Phillips, State Bar No. 153287**, be disbarred from the practice of law in the State of California and that his name be stricken from the Roll of Attorneys of persons admitted to practice in this state. He is ordered to comply with the provisions of California Rules of Court, rule 955 and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and

40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. & Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S102463 In re **George Alexander Baker** on Discipline

It is ordered that **George Alexander Baker, State Bar No. 146479**, be placed on probation for four years on condition that he be actually suspended for two years and until he provides proof satisfactory to the State Bar Court of his rehabilitation, fitness to practice and present learning and ability in the general law pursuant to standard 1.4(c)(ii), Standards for Attorney Sanctions for Professional Misconduct. Respondent is further ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 2, 2001. It is also ordered that respondent take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination during the period of his actual suspension. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Respondent is further ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in accordance with Business & Professions Code section 6140.7.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S102499 In re **Andrew David Steinberg** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Andrew David Steinberg, State Bar No. 106373**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for four years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 3, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003, 2004 and 2005.

S102525 In re **David Ramirez Dequit** on Discipline

It is hereby ordered that **David Ramirez Dequit, State Bar No. 178841**, be disbarred from the practice of law and that his name be stricken from the roll of attorneys. He is also ordered to comply with rule 955 of the California Rules of Court, and to perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 days, respectively, after the date this order is effective.* Costs are awarded to the State Bar.

*(See Bus. and Prof. Code, § 6126, subd. (c).)

S102616 In re **John Mahlon Kemp** on Discipline

It is ordered that **John Mahlon Kemp, State Bar No. 50880**, be suspended from the practice of law for one year and until he successfully completes State Bar Ethics School, that execution of suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for one year on condition that he be actually suspended for 35 days. Respondent is also ordered to comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed October 4, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8), unless he has successfully completed it within six months prior to the effective date of this order. Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006.

S102620 In re **Edward Louis Esposito** on Discipline

It is ordered that **Edward Louis Esposito, State Bar No. 166089**, be suspended from the practice of law for three months, that execution of the suspension be stayed, and that he be placed on probation for two years subject to the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its order approving stipulation filed on October 3, 2001. It is further ordered that he take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order. (See *Segretti v. State Bar* (1976) 15 Cal.3d 878, 891, fn. 8.) Costs are awarded to the State Bar pursuant to Business & Professions Code section 6086.10 and payable in equal installments for membership years 2003 and 2004.

